SZEGŐ KERNEL ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION ON CR MANIFOLDS WITH S^1 ACTION

HENDRIK HERRMANN, CHIN-YU HSIAO, AND XIAOSHAN LI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1, n \geq 1$ with a transversal CR S^1 action on X. We establish an asymptotic expansion for the m-th Fourier component of the Szegő kernel function as $m \to \infty$, where the expansion involves a contribution in terms of a distance function from lower dimensional strata of the S^1 action. We also obtain explicit formulas for the first three coefficients of the expansion.

arXiv:1610.04669v1 [math.CV] 14 Oct 2016

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of the main results 1
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Some standard notations 5
2.2. Definitions and notations from semi-classical analysis 5
2.3. Set up and terminology 6
3. Rigid CR Geometry 8
3.1. Pseudohermitian geometry 11
4. Szegő kernel asymptotic expansion 13
4.1. Kohn Laplacians 14
4.2. Approximate Bergman kernels on BRT trivializations 16
4.3. Approximate Szegő kernels 19
4.4. The proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 21
References 25

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold M and let L^k be the k-th tensor power of L. The Bergman kernel is the smooth kernel of the orthogonal projection onto the space of L^2-integrable holomorphic sections of L^k. The study of the large k behaviour of the Bergman kernel is an important research subject in complex geometry. In the case of a positive line bundle L over a compact base manifold M, Catlin [5], Zelditch [26] and Zelditch-Shiffman [23] established the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel by using a fundamental result by Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand [3] about the asymptotics of the Szegő kernel on a strongly pseudoconvex boundary. Another proof of the existence of the full asymptotic expansion for the Bergman kernel was obtained by Berman, Berndtsson and Sjöstrand [2]. Dai, Liu and Ma [7], [8] obtained the full off-diagonal asymptotic expansion and Agmon estimates of the Bergman kernel by using the heat kernel method. Their result holds actually for the more general Bergman kernel of the spin^c Dirac operator associated to

The first named author would like to thank the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica for hospitality, a comfortable accommodation and financial support during his visit in August.

The second named author was partially supported by Taiwan Ministry of Science of Technology project 104-2628-M-001-003-MY2 and the Golden-Jade fellowship of Kenda Foundation.

The third author was supported by NSFC No. 11501422.

a positive line bundle on a compact symplectic manifold. The Bergman kernel asymptotic expansion plays an important role in many recent research topics, for example, the the existence of canonical Kähler metrics , Berezin-Toeplitz quantization, equidistribution of zeros of holomorphic sections and mathematical physics. We refer the reader to the book [19] for a comprehensive study of the Bergman kernel and its applications and also to the survey [20].

In CR geometry, among those transversally elliptic operators initiated by Atiyah and Singer, Kohn's $\Box_b$ operator on CR manifolds with S^1 action is a natural one of geometric significance for complex analysts. Recently, we established Morse inequalities ([16], [15]), a local index theorem ([6]) and Kodaira embedding theorem ([12], [14], [18]) on such manifolds. As in complex geometry, to study further fundamental CR geometric problems (for example, CR Donaldson's program [9], geometric quantization of CR manifolds), it is crucial to be able to know the asymptotic behaviour of m-th Fourier component of the Szegő kernel function. This is the motivation of this work. In this paper, we establish an asymptotic expansion for m-th Fourier component of the Szegő kernel function as $m \to +\infty$, where the expansion involves a contribution in terms of a distance function from lower dimensional strata of the S^1 action. We also obtain explicit formulas for the first three coefficients of the expansion.

We now formulate the main results. We refer to Section 2 for some notations and terminology used here. Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ (see Definition 2.2), where $T^{1,0}X$ is a CR structure of X. Let $T \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ be the real vector field induced by the S^1 action and let $\omega_0 \in C^\infty(X, T^*X)$ be the global real one form determined by

$$\langle\, \omega_0 \,,\, T \,\rangle = -1, \;\; \langle\, \omega_0 \,,\, u \,\rangle = 0, \;\; \forall u \in T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X. \tag{1.1}$$

Take a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ such that $T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X$, $T \perp (T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X)$, $\langle\, T \,|\, T \,\rangle = 1$ holds (see Definition 2.6 and the discussion after Definition 2.6) and let $dv_X = dv_X(x)$ be the volume form on X induced by the rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. Then we get a natural global L^2 inner product $(\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,)$ on $C^\infty(X)$. We denote by $L^2(X)$ the completion of $C^\infty(X)$ with respect to $(\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,)$.

Let $\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X)$ be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For every $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$, we can define $Tu := \mathscr{L}_T u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$ (see (2.5) and (3.6)), where $\mathscr{L}_T u$ denotes the Lie derivative of u along the direction T. We can check that $T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T$ on $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, put

$$C^\infty_m(X) := \{u \in C^\infty(X);\, Tu = imu\}\,.$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$H^0_{b,m}(X) := \left\{u \in C^\infty_m(X);\, \overline{\partial}_b u = 0\right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

It is well-known that (see Theorem 3.5 in [6]) $\dim H^0_{b,m}(X) < \infty$. Let $f^m_1 \in H^0_{b,m}(X), \ldots, f^m_{d_m} \in H^0_{b,m}(X)$ be an orthonormal basis for $H^0_{b,m}(X)$ with respect to $(\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,)$. The m-th Fourier component of the Szegő kernel function is given by

$$S_m(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \left|f^m_j(x)\right|^2 \in C^\infty(X). \tag{1.3}$$

For $x \in X$, we say that the period of x is $\frac{2\pi}{q}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, if $e^{i\theta} \circ x \neq x$ for every $0 < \theta < \frac{2\pi}{q}$ and $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{q}} \circ x = x$. For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$X_q = \left\{x \in X;\, \text{the period of } x \text{ is } \tfrac{2\pi}{q}\right\} \tag{1.4}$$

and set $p = \min\{q \in \mathbb{N};\, X_q \neq \emptyset\}$. It is well-known that if X is connected, then X_p is an open and dense subset of X (see Duistermaat-Heckman [10]). We denote $X_{\rm reg} := X_p$. We call

$x \in X_{\rm reg}$ a regular point of the S^1 action. Let $X_{\rm sing}$ be the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$. Assume $X = X_{p_1} \bigcup X_{p_2} \bigcup \cdots \bigcup X_{p_t}$, $p =: p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_t$. Put $X_{\rm sing} = X^1_{\rm sing} := \bigcup_{j=2}^t X_{p_j}$, $X^r_{\rm sing} := \bigcup_{j=r+1}^t X_{p_j}$, $t-1 \geq r \geq 2$. Set $X^t_{\rm sing} := \emptyset$. For $x, y \in X$, let $d(x,y)$ denotes the standard Riemannian distance of x and y with respect to the given Hermitian metric. Let A be any subset of X. For $x \in X$, put $d(x,A) := \inf\{d(x,y);\, y \in A\}$ if $A \neq \emptyset$, $d(x,A) = 0$ if $A = \emptyset$. The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$, $n \geq 1$, with a transversal CR S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$. With the notations used above, there are $b_j(x) \in C^\infty(X)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, such that for any $r = 1, \ldots, t$, any differential operator $P_\ell : C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(X)$ of order $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left| P_\ell\Big(S_m(x) - \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} m^{n-j} b_j(x)\Big)\right| \leq C_N\Big(m^{n-N} + m^{n+\frac{\ell}{2}} e^{-m\varepsilon_0 d(x, X^r_{\rm sing})^2}\Big), \quad \forall m \geq 1, \ \ \forall x \in X_{p_r}. \tag{1.5}$$

Remark 1.2. When m is a multiple of p_r, the number $\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}$ is equal to p_r. When m is not a multiple of p_r, the number $\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}$ is equal to 0.

Corollary 1.3. *With the notations and assumptions used in Theorem 1.1, assume that $p_1 = 1$. For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left| S_m(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} m^{n-j} b_j(x)\right| \leq C_N\Big(m^{n-N} + m^n e^{-m\varepsilon_0 d(x, X_{\rm sing})^2}\Big), \quad \forall m \geq 1, \ \ \forall x \in X_{\rm reg}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.4. It is well-known that a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold X with a transversal CR S^1 action can be identified with the circle bundle of a positive holomorphic orbifold line bundle $(L,h) \to M$ over a compact complex orbifold M such that a rigid Hermitian metric on X induces a Hermitian metric on M (see [22], [4], [21]). By this identification the the m-th Fourier component of the Szegő kernel function and the Bergman kernel function for the m-th tensor power of L are equal up to a factor of 2π. Hence the expansion result for Bergman kernels on orbifolds by Ma–Marinescu ([19], Theorem 5.4.10) implies an expansion for the Fourier components of the Szegő kernel on X. However, comparing the expansion coming from their result with the expansion given in Theorem 1.1, some differences appear. For example, Theorem 1.1 involves an expansion also on the singular part of X with an error term depending on the distance from lower dimensional strata. Furthermore, the error term in Theorem 1.1 decreases much faster than the error term in [19] when $m\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{X}_{\rm sing})$ goes to infinity.

We introduce now the geometric objects used in Theorem 1.5 below. The two form $\frac{1}{2\pi} id\omega_0$ induces a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ (see (3.8)). The Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\oplus_{r=1}^{2n+1}\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$, also denoted by $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. For $u \in \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$, we denote $|u|^2_{\mathcal{L}} := \langle\, u \,|\, u \,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $x = (z, \theta)$ be canonical coordinates on an open set $D \subset X$ (see Theorem 3.1). Let $Z_1 \in C^\infty(D, T^{1,0}X), \ldots, Z_n \in C^\infty(D, T^{1,0}X)$ be as in (3.1) and let $e_1 \in C^\infty(D, T^{*1,0}X), \ldots, e_n \in C^\infty(D, T^{*1,0}X)$ be the dual frames. The CR rigid Laplacian with respect to $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by

$$\Box_{\mathcal{L}} = (-2) \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \langle\, e_j \,|\, e_k \,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} Z_j \overline{Z_k}. \tag{1.7}$$

It is easy to check that $\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is globally defined. Let

$$\frac{1}{n!}\Big((-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0)^n\wedge(-\omega_0)\Big)(x)=a(x)dx_1\cdots dx_{2n+1}\ \text{ on } D, \tag{1.8}$$

where $a(x)\in C^\infty(D)$. The rigid scalar curvature $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by

$$S_{\mathcal{L}}(x):=\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log a(x)). \tag{1.9}$$

It is easy to see that $S_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ is well-defined and $S_{\mathcal{L}}(x)\in C^\infty(X)$ (see the discussion after (3.11)). We will show in Theorem 3.5 that $S_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=4\pi R$, where R denotes the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature with respect to the pseudohermitian structure $-\omega_0$.

Let $\omega^1(x),\ldots,\omega^n(x)\in C^\infty(X,T^{*1,0}X)$ be an orthonormal basis for $T^{*1,0}_xX$ with respect to the given rigid Hermitian metrci $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle$, for every $x\in X$. Define $\Theta(x):=i\sum_{j=1}^n\omega^j(x)\wedge\overline{\omega^j}(x)\in C^\infty(X,T^{*1,1}X)$. Let $x=(z,\theta)$ be canonical coordinates on an open set $D\subset X$. Let

$$\frac{1}{n!}\Theta^n\wedge(-\omega_0)=b(x)dx_1dx_2\cdots dx_{2n+1}\ \text{ on } D, \tag{1.10}$$

where $b(x)\in C^\infty(D)$. Put

$$S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(x):=\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log b(x)), \tag{1.11}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\det}_\Theta(x)=\partial_b\overline{\partial}_b\log b(x). \tag{1.12}$$

We can check that $S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\det}_\Theta(x)$ are well-defined and $S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(x)\in C^\infty(X)$, $TS^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=0$, $\mathcal{R}^{\det}_\Theta(x)\in C^\infty(X,T^{*1,1}X)$. We call $\mathcal{R}^{\det}_\Theta$ the rigid curvature of the determinant line bundle of $T^{*1,0}X$ with respect to the real two form Θ. Note that $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle=\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ implies $S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=S_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$.

Let $R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}\in C^\infty(X,T^{*1,1}X\otimes\operatorname{End}(T^{1,0}X))$ be the rigid Chern curvature with respect to $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ (see (3.18)). Set

$$\Big|R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}\Big|^2_{\mathcal{L}}:=\sum_{j,k,s,t=1}^n\Big|\langle\,R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{e}_j,e_k)e_s\,|\,e_t\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}\Big|^2, \tag{1.13}$$

where $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. The rigid Ricci curvature with respect to $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a global $(1,1)$ form on X given by

$$\langle\,\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}},\overline{U}\wedge V\,\rangle=-\sum_{j=1}^n\langle\,R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{U},e_j)V\,|\,e_j\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}},\ \ U,V\in T^{1,0}X, \tag{1.14}$$

where $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.

We denote by $\dot{\mathcal{R}}=\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)$ the Hermitian matrix $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)\in\operatorname{End}(T^{1,0}_xX)$ such that for $V,W\in T^{1,0}_xX$ we have

$$id\omega_0(x)(V,\overline{W})=\langle\,\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)V\,|\,W\,\rangle. \tag{1.15}$$

Now, we can state our result

Theorem 1.5. *With the notations used above, for $b_0(x),b_1(x),b_2(x)$ in Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$b_0(x)=(2\pi)^{-n-1}\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x),\ \ \forall x\in X, \tag{1.16}$$

$$b_1(x)=(2\pi)^{-n-1}\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}-\frac{1}{8\pi}S_{\mathcal{L}}\Big)(x),\ \ \forall x\in X, \tag{1.17}$$

(1.18)

$$\begin{aligned}b_2(x)=(2\pi)^{-n-1}\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)\Big(&\frac{1}{128\pi^2}(S_{\mathcal{L}})^2-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}S_{\mathcal{L}}S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}(S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}})^2-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}S^\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\big|R^{\det}_\Theta\big|^2_{\mathcal{L}}\\&+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}}\,|\,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}S_{\mathcal{L}}-\frac{1}{24\pi^2}|\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}}|^2_{\mathcal{L}}+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\Big|R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}\Big|^2_{\mathcal{L}}\Big)(x),\ \forall x\in X.\end{aligned}$$

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some basic notations and recall some definitions for CR manifolds with circle actions. Section 3 contains a more detailed description of the geometric quantities which appear in Theorem 1.5. Furthermore, we show how some of them are related to geometric objects coming from pseudohermitian geometry (see Section 3.1, Theorem 3.5). In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Some standard notations.** We use the following notations: $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R};\, x > 0\}$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R};\, x \geq 0\}$. For a multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we write

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \partial_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha}.$$

Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, be coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
&z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \overline{z}^\alpha = \overline{z}_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots \overline{z}_n^{\alpha_n},\\
&\partial_{z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}}\Big), \quad \partial_{\overline{z}_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}}\Big),\\
&\partial_z^\alpha = \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha}, \quad \partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha = \partial_{\overline{z}_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{\overline{z}_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let X be a C^∞ orientable paracompact manifold. We let TX and T^*X denote the tangent bundle of X and the cotangent bundle of X respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of X and the complexified cotangent bundle of X will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}TX$ and $\mathbb{C}T^*X$ respectively. We write $\langle\, \cdot\,, \cdot\,\rangle$ to denote the pointwise duality between T^*X and TX. We extend $\langle\, \cdot\,, \cdot\,\rangle$ bilinearly to $\mathbb{C}T^*X \times \mathbb{C}TX$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}T^*X$, $v \in \mathbb{C}TX$, we also write $u(v) := \langle\, u\,, v\,\rangle$.

Let E be a C^∞ vector bundle over X. The fiber of E at $x \in X$ will be denoted by E_x. Let F be another vector bundle over X. We write $F \boxtimes E^*$ to denote the vector bundle over $X \times X$ with fiber over $(x, y) \in X \times X$ consisting of the linear maps from E_y to F_x.

Let $Y \subset X$ be an open set. The spaces of smooth sections of E over Y and distribution sections of E over Y will be denoted by $C^\infty(Y, E)$ and $\mathscr{D}'(Y, E)$ respectively. Let $\mathscr{E}'(Y, E)$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{D}'(Y, E)$ whose elements have compact support in Y. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we let $H^m(Y, E)$ denote the Sobolev space of order m of sections of E over Y. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
H^m_{\mathrm{loc}}(Y, E) &= \big\{u \in \mathscr{D}'(Y, E);\, \varphi u \in H^m(Y, E),\, \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Y)\big\},\\
H^m_{\mathrm{comp}}(Y, E) &= H^m_{\mathrm{loc}}(Y, E) \cap \mathscr{E}'(Y, E).
\end{aligned}$$

2.2. **Definitions and notations from semi-classical analysis.** Let Ω be a C^∞ paracompact manifold equipped with a smooth density of integration. Any continuous linear operator $A : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ has a Schwartz distribution kernel, denoted $A(x, y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega \times \Omega)$. We say that A is a *smoothing operator* if $A(x, y) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$. We say that A is properly supported if $\mathrm{Supp}\, A(x, y) \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ is proper. That is, the two projections: $t_x : (x, y) \in \mathrm{Supp}\, A(x, y) \to x \in \Omega$, $t_y : (x, y) \in \mathrm{Supp}\, A(x, y) \to y \in \Omega$ are proper (i.e. the inverse images of t_x and t_y of all compact subsets of Ω are compact).

Let $H(x, y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega \times \Omega)$. We write H to denote the unique continuous operator $H : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ with distribution kernel $H(x, y)$. In this work, we identify H with $H(x, y)$.

Let W_1, W_2 be open sets in $\mathbb{R}^N$. An m-dependent continuous operator $A_m : C_0^\infty(W_1) \to \mathscr{D}'(W_2)$ is called m-negligible on $W_2 \times W_1$ if for m large enough A_m is smoothing and for any $K \Subset W_2 \times W_1$, any multi-indices α, β and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{K,\alpha,\beta,N} > 0$ such that

$$\big|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta A_m(x, y)\big| \leq C_{K,\alpha,\beta,N} m^{-N} \text{ on } K. \tag{2.1}$$

In that case we write

$$A_m(x,y) = O(m^{-\infty}) \text{ on } W_2 \times W_1,$$

or

$$A_m = O(m^{-\infty}) \text{ on } W_2 \times W_1.$$

If $A_m, B_m : C_0^\infty(W_1) \to \mathscr{D}'(W_2)$ are m-dependent continuous operators, we write $A_m = B_m + O(m^{-\infty})$ on $W_2 \times W_1$ or $A_m(x,y) = B_m(x,y) + O(m^{-\infty})$ on $W_2 \times W_1$ if $A_m - B_m = O(k^{-\infty})$ on $W_2 \times W_1$.

Let $A_m, B_m : C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(X)$ be m-dependent smoothing operators. We write $A_m = B_m + O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X \times X$ if on every local coordinate patch D, $A_m = B_m + O(m^{-\infty})$ on $D \times D$.

Let $F_m : H^s(X) \to H^{s'}(X)$ be a m-dependent continuous operator, where $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}$. We write

$$F_m = O(m^{n_0}) : H^s(X) \to H^{s'}(X), \;\; n_0 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

if there is a positive constant c independent of m, such that

$$\|F_m u\|_{s'} \leq c m^{n_0} \|u\|_s, \;\; \forall u \in H^s(X), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_s$ denotes the usual Sobolev norm on X of order s. It is easy to check that $F_m = O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X \times X$ if and only if $F_m = O(m^{-N}) : H^{-s}(X) \to H^s(X)$, for every $N > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

We recall the definition of the semi-classical symbol spaces

Definition 2.1. Let W be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let

$$S(1;W) := \Big\{ a \in C^\infty(W) \,|\, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N : \sup_{x \in W} |\partial^\alpha a(x)| < \infty \Big\},$$

$$S^0_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;W) := \Big\{ (a(\cdot,m))_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \,|\, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N, \forall \chi \in C_0^\infty(W) : \sup_{m \in \mathbb{R}, m \geq 1} \sup_{x \in W} |\partial^\alpha (\chi a(x,m))| < \infty \Big\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$S^k_{\mathrm{loc}}(1) := S^k_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;W) = \Big\{ (a(\cdot,m))_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \,|\, (m^{-k} a(\cdot,m)) \in S^0_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;W) \Big\}.$$

Hence $a(\cdot,m) \in S^k_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;W)$ if for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(W)$, there exists $C_\alpha > 0$ independent of m, such that $|\partial^\alpha(\chi a(\cdot,m))| \leq C_\alpha m^k$ holds on W.

Consider a sequence $a_j \in S^{k_j}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $k_j \searrow -\infty$, and let $a \in S^{k_0}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$. We say

$$a(\cdot,m) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j(\cdot,m) \text{ in } S^{k_0}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1),$$

if for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $a - \sum_{j=0}^\ell a_j \in S^{k_{\ell+1}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$. For a given sequence a_j as above, we can always find such an asymptotic sum a, which is unique up to an element in $S^{-\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1) = S^{-\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(1;W) := \cap_k S^k_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$.

2.3. **Set up and terminology.** Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$, $n \geq 1$, where $T^{1,0}X$ is a CR structure of X. That is $T^{1,0}X$ is a subbundle of rank n of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}TX$, satisfying $T^{1,0}X \cap T^{0,1}X = \{0\}$, where $T^{0,1}X = \overline{T^{1,0}X}$, and $[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V} = C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$. We assume that X admits an S^1 action: $S^1 \times X \to X$. We write $e^{i\theta}$ to denote the S^1 action. Let $T \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ be the global real vector field induced by the S^1 action given by $(Tu)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\big(u(e^{i\theta} \circ x)\big)\,|_{\theta=0}$, $u \in C^\infty(X)$.

Definition 2.2. We say that the S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ is CR if $[T, C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)] \subset C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$ and the S^1 action is transversal if for each $x \in X$, $\mathbb{C}T(x) \oplus T^{1,0}_x X \oplus T^{0,1}_x X = \mathbb{C}T_x X$. Moreover, we say that the S^1 action is locally free if $T \neq 0$ everywhere. It should be mentioned that transversality implies locally free.

We assume throughout that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is a compact connected CR manifold with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ and we let T be the global vector field induced by the S^1 action. Let $\omega_0 \in C^\infty(X, T^*X)$ be the global real one form determined by $\langle\, \omega_0\,,\, u\,\rangle = 0$, for every $u \in T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$ and $\langle\, \omega_0\,,\, T\,\rangle = -1$.

Definition 2.3. For $p \in X$, the Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ is the Hermitian quadratic form on $T^{1,0}_pX$ given by $\mathcal{L}_p(U, \overline{V}) = -\frac{1}{2i}\langle\, d\omega_0(p)\,,\, U \wedge \overline{V}\,\rangle$, $U, V \in T^{1,0}_pX$.

If the Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ is positive definite, we say that X is strongly pseudoconvex at p. If the Levi form is positive definite at every point of X, we say that X is strongly pseudoconvex. We assume throughout that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is strongly pseudoconvex.

Denote by $T^{*1,0}X$ and $T^{*0,1}X$ the dual bundles of $T^{1,0}X$ and $T^{0,1}X$ respectively. Define the vector bundle of (p, q) forms by $T^{*p,q}X = \Lambda^p(T^{*1,0}X) \wedge \Lambda^q(T^{*0,1}X)$. Let $\Omega^{p,q}(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $T^{*p,q}X$ over D and let $\Omega^{p,q}_0(D)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{p,q}(D)$ whose elements have compact support in D. For $p = q = 0$, we write $C^\infty(D) := \Omega^{0,0}(D)$ and $C^\infty_0(D) := \Omega^{0,0}_0(D)$.

Fix $\theta_0 \in]-\pi, \pi[$, θ_0 small. Let

$$de^{i\theta_0} : \mathbb{C}T_xX \to \mathbb{C}T_{e^{i\theta_0}x}X$$

denote the differential map of $e^{i\theta_0} : X \to X$. By the CR property of the S^1 action, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} de^{i\theta_0} &: T^{1,0}_xX \to T^{1,0}_{e^{i\theta_0}x}X, \\ de^{i\theta_0} &: T^{0,1}_xX \to T^{0,1}_{e^{i\theta_0}x}X, \\ de^{i\theta_0}&(T(x)) = T(e^{i\theta_0}x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Let $(e^{i\theta_0})^* : \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X) \to \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$ be the pull-back map by $e^{i\theta_0}$, $r = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n+1$. From (2.3), it is easy to see that for every $q = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ one has

$$(e^{i\theta_0})^* : T^{*0,q}_{e^{i\theta_0}x}X \to T^{*0,q}_xX. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$ be arbitrary. Define

$$Tu := \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\big((e^{i\theta})^*u\big)|_{\theta=0} \in \Omega^{0,q}(X). \tag{2.5}$$

(See also (3.6).) For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $u \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X))$, we write $u(e^{i\theta} \circ x) := (e^{i\theta})^*u(x)$. It is clear that for every $u \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X))$, we have

$$u(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i\theta} \circ x)e^{-im\theta}d\theta. \tag{2.6}$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\Omega^{0,q}_m(X) := \left\{u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X);\, Tu = imu\right\},\ \ q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{2.7}$$

We denote $C^\infty_m(X) := \Omega^{0,0}_m(X)$.

Let $\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X)$ be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. From the CR property of the S^1 action, it is straightforward to see that (see also (3.7))

$$T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_bT \ \text{ on } \Omega^{0,q}(X)$$

holds. Hence,

$$\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}_m(X),\ \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.4. Let $D \subset U$ be an open set. We say that a function $u \in C^\infty(D)$ is rigid if $Tu = 0$. We say that a function $u \in C^\infty(X)$ is Cauchy-Riemann (CR for short) if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$. We call u a rigid CR function if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$ and $Tu = 0$.

Definition 2.5. Let F be a complex vector bundle over X. We say that F is rigid (CR) if X can be covered with open sets U_j with trivializing frames $\{f_j^1, f_j^2, \ldots, f_j^r\}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that the corresponding transition matrices are rigid (CR). The frames $\{f_j^1, f_j^2, \ldots, f_j^r\}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, are called rigid (CR) frames.

Definition 2.6. Let F be a complex rigid vector bundle over X and let $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_F$ be a Hermitian metric on F. We say that $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_F$ is a rigid Hermitian metric if for every rigid local frames $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ of F, we have $T\langle\, f_j \,|\, f_k \,\rangle_F = 0$, for every $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

It is well-known that there is a rigid Hermitian metric on any rigid vector bundle F (see Theorem 2.10 in [6] and Theorem 10.5 in [14]). Note that Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [1] proved that $T^{1,0}X$ is a rigid complex vector bundle over X.

From now on, take a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ such that $T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X$, $T \perp (T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X)$, $\langle\, T \,|\, T \,\rangle = 1$. We denote by $dv_X = dv_X(x)$ the volume form on X induced by the fixed Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. Then we get natural global L^2 inner products $(\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,)$ on $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$. We denote by $L^2_{(0,q)}(X)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ with respect to $(\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,)$. For $f \in L^2_{(0,q)}(X)$, we denote $\|f\|^2 := (\, f \,|\, f \,)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $L^2_{(0,q),m}(X)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}_m(X)$ with respect to $(\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,)$. For $q = 0$, we write $L^2(X) := L^2_{(0,0)}(X)$, $L^2_m(X) := L^2_{(0,0),m}(X)$.

3. Rigid CR Geometry

In this section, we will introduce some rigid geometric functions on CR manifolds with S^1 action which appear in Theorem 1.5.

We would like to introduce these geometric quantities via local computations. Therefore we need the following result on local coordinates due to Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [1].

Theorem 3.1. *For every point $x_0 \in X$, we can find local coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{2n+1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \cdots, z_n, \theta), z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \cdots, n, x_{2n+1} = \theta$, defined in some small neighborhood $D = \{(z, \theta) : |z| < \delta, -\varepsilon_0 < \theta < \varepsilon_0\}$ of x_0, $\delta > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \pi$, such that $(z(x_0), \theta(x_0)) = (0, 0)$ and*

$$
\begin{aligned}
T &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
Z_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, j = 1, \cdots, n
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

where $Z_j(x), j = 1, \cdots, n$, form a basis of $T^{1,0}_x X$, for each $x \in D$ and $\varphi(z) \in C^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ independent of θ. We call $(D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$ BRT trivialization and we call $x = (z, \theta)$ canonical coordinates.

In order to prove that the geometric objects which will arise from local calculations using BRT trivializations are independent of the choice of such coordinates we have to understand the transition maps between BRT trivialisations. The following is straightforward, so we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(D,(z,\theta),\varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization and let $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_{2n+1})=(w,\gamma)$, $w_j=y_{2j-1}+iy_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, $\gamma=y_{2n+1}$, be another canonical coordinates on D. Write*

$$\begin{aligned} T&=\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma},\\ \widetilde{Z}_j&=\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}+i\frac{\partial\widetilde{\varphi}}{\partial w_j}(w)\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n, \end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where $\widetilde{Z}_j(y)$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, form a basis of $T^{1,0}_yX$, for each $y\in D$, and $\widetilde{\varphi}(w)\in C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R})$ independent of γ. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &w=(w_1,\ldots,w_n)=(H_1(z),\ldots,H_n(z))=H(z),\ \ H_j(z)\in C^\infty,\ \ \forall j,\\ &\gamma=\theta+G(z),\ \ G(z)\in C^\infty, \end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

where for each $j=1,\ldots,n$, $H_j(z)$ is holomorphic.

From (3.3), we can check that

$$d\overline{w}_j=\sum_{l=1}^n\overline{\Big(\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_l}\Big)}d\overline{z}_l,\ \ j=1,\ldots,n.\tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.3. By using BRT trivialization, we get another way to define $Tu,\forall u\in\Omega^{0,q}(X)$. Let $(D,(z,\theta),\varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization. It is clear that

$$\{d\overline{z_{j_1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\overline{z_{j_q}},1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_q\leq n\}$$

is a basis for $T^{*0,q}_xX$, for every $x\in D$. Let $u\in\Omega^{0,q}(X)$. On D, we write

$$u=\sum_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_q\leq n}u_{j_1\cdots j_q}d\overline{z_{j_1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\overline{z_{j_q}}.\tag{3.5}$$

Then on D we can check that

$$Tu=\sum_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_q\leq n}(Tu_{j_1\cdots j_q})d\overline{z_{j_1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\overline{z_{j_q}}\tag{3.6}$$

and Tu is independent of the choice of BRT trivializations. Note that on BRT trivialization $(D,(z,\theta),\varphi)$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_b=\sum_{j=1}^n d\overline{z}_j\wedge(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j}-i\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}).\tag{3.7}$$

Let us return to our situation. We now introduce the geometric objects used in our main result Theorem 1.5. The Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ induces a Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle\,U\,|\,V\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,id\omega_0\,,\,U\wedge\overline{V}\,\rangle,\ \ \forall U,V\in T^{1,0}X,\\ &T^{1,0}X\perp T^{0,1}X\perp\mathbb{C}T,\\ &\langle\,T\,|\,T\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=1,\\ &\langle\,\overline{U}\,|\,\overline{V}\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=\overline{\langle\,U\,|\,V\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}},\ \ \forall U,V\in T^{0,1}X. \end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

The Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\oplus_{r=1}^{2n+1}\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$, also denoted by $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. For $u\in\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$, we denote $|u|^2_{\mathcal{L}}:=\langle\,u\,|\,u\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Let $\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in (1.7). Let $(D,(z,\theta),\varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization. We have

$$\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}=(-2)\sum_{j,k=1}^n\langle\,dz_j\,|\,dz_k\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+i\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_k}-i\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big).\tag{3.9}$$

Let $x = (z, \theta)$ be canonical coordinates on an open set $D \subset X$. Let

$$\frac{1}{n!}\Big((-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0)^n \wedge (-\omega_0)\Big)(x) = a(x)dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n+1} \ \text{ on } D, \tag{3.10}$$

where $a(x) \in C^\infty(D)$. The rigid scalar curvature $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by

$$S_{\mathcal{L}}(x) := \triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log a(x)). \tag{3.11}$$

Let $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n+1}) = (w, \gamma)$, $w_j = y_{2j-1} + iy_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\gamma = y_{2n+1}$, be another canonical coordinates on D. Let

$$\frac{1}{n!}\Big((-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0)^n \wedge (-\omega_0)\Big)(y) = \widetilde{a}(y)dy_1 \cdots dy_{2n+1} \ \text{ on } D, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\widetilde{a}(y) \in C^\infty(D)$. From (3.3), we can check that

$$\widetilde{a}(y)\left|\det\left(\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_k}\right)_{j,k=1}^{n}\right|^2 = a(x), \ \ y = (w, \gamma), \ \ x = (z, \theta), \ \ w = H(z), \ \ \gamma = \theta + G(z). \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.9), (3.13) and

$$\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log\left|\det\left(\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_k}\right)_{j,k=1}^{n}\right|^2) = 0,$$

we can check that $\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log a(x)) = \triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log \widetilde{a}(y))$ holds on D and hence the rigid scalar curvature $S_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ is well-defined with $S_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \in C^\infty(X)$, $TS_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = 0$.

Let $S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\det}_{\Theta}(x)$ be as in (1.11) and (1.12) respectively. We can repeat the procedure above with minor change and check that $S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\det}_{\Theta}(x)$ are well-defined.

The rigid Chern connection

$$\nabla^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}} : C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X) \to C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}T^*X \otimes T^{1,0}X) \tag{3.14}$$

on $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined as follows. Let $(D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization and let Z_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, be as in (3.1). Set

$$h_{j,k} = h_{j,k}(z) = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \,|\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + i\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}, \ \ j, k = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3.15}$$

Put

$$h = h(z) = (h_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^{n}, \ \ j, k = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{3.16}$$

and $h^{-1} = \big(h^{j,k}\big)_{j,k=1}^{n}$, where h^{-1} is the inverse matrix of h. Furthermore, set

$$\theta = \theta(z) = \partial_b h \cdot h^{-1} = (\theta_{j,k}(z))_{j,k=1}^{n}, \ \ \theta_{j,k}(z) \in T^{*1,0}_x X, \ \ x = (z, \theta), \ \ j, k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}} : C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X) \to C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}T^*X \otimes T^{1,0}X) \\ &U = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j Z_j \to \sum_{j=1}^{n} da_j \otimes Z_j + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_j \theta_{j,k} \otimes Z_k, \ \ a_j \in C^\infty(D), \ 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

It is straightforward to check that the definition of $\nabla^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is independent of the choice of BRT trivialization and hence it is globally defined. The rigid Chern curvature with respect to

$\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}} = \overline{\partial}_b\theta = \left(\overline{\partial}_b\theta_{j,k}\right)_{j,k=1}^{n} = (\mathcal{R}_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^{n} \in C^\infty(X, T^{*1,1}X \otimes \mathrm{End}\,(T^{1,0}X)),\\
&R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{U}, V) \in \mathrm{End}\,(T^{1,0}X),\ \ \forall U, V \in T^{1,0}X,\\
&R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{U}, V)\xi = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \langle\, \mathcal{R}_{j,k}\,, \overline{U}\wedge V\,\rangle \xi_k Z_j,\ \ \xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi_j Z_j,\ \ U, V \in T^{1,0}X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.18}
$$

It is straightforward to check that the definition of $R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is independent of the choice of BRT trivialization and hence it is globally defined.

3.1. **Pseudohermitian geometry.** In this section we will prove that the the rigid scalar curvature $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ given by (3.11) and the rigid Chern curvature given by (3.18) are just Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature and Tanaka-Webster curvature respectively up to some constants. We recall Tanaka-Webster curvature and scalar curvature first. For this moment, we do not assume that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ has a transversal CR S^1 action, that is, we only assume that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is a general orientable strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$, $n \geq 1$. Let

$$HX := \left\{U \in TX;\, U = W + \overline{W},\ \text{ for some } W \in T^{1,0}X\right\}.$$

Then HX is a subbundle of TX of dimension $2n$. Let $J : HX \to HX$ be the complex structure map given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J : HX \to HX,\\
&U = W + \overline{W}, W \in T^{1,0}X, \to \sqrt{-1}W - \sqrt{-1}\,\overline{W}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since X is orientable, there is a $\theta_0 \in C^\infty(X, T^*X)$ which annihilates exactly HX. Any such θ_0 is called a pseudohermitian structure on X. Then there is a unique vector field $T \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ on X such that

$$\theta_0(T) \equiv 1,\ \ d\theta_0(T, \cdot) \equiv 0.$$

The following is well-known

Proposition 3.4 (Proposition 3.1 in [24])**.** *With the notations above, there is a unique linear connection (Tanaka-Webster connection) denoted by $\nabla : C^\infty(X, TX) \to C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes TX)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(a) *The contact structure HX is parallel, i.e., $\nabla_U C^\infty(X, HX) \subset C^\infty(X, HX)$ for $U \in C^\infty(X, TX)$.*
(b) *The tensor fields $T, J, d\theta_0$ are all parallel, i.e., $\nabla T = 0, \nabla J = 0, \nabla d\theta_0 = 0$.*
(c) *The torsion τ of ∇ satisfies: $\tau(U, V) = d\theta_0(U, V)T$, $\tau(T, JU) = -J\tau(T, U)$, $U, V \in C^\infty(X, HX)$.*

Recall that $\nabla J \in C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes \mathrm{End}\, HX)$, $\nabla d\theta_0 \in C^\infty(X, T^*X \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}T^*X))$ are defined by $(\nabla_U J)W = \nabla_U(JW) - J\nabla_U W$, where $U \in C^\infty(X, TX)$, $W \in C^\infty(X, HX)$, and $\nabla_U d\theta_0(W, V) = U d\theta_0(W, V) - d\theta_0(\nabla_U W, V) - d\theta_0(W, \nabla_U V)$ for $U, V, W \in C^\infty(X, TX)$. By (a) and $\nabla J = 0$ in (b), we have $\nabla_U C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X) \subset C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$ and $\nabla_U C^\infty(X, T^{0,1}X) \subset C^\infty(X, T^{0,1}X)$ for $U \in C^\infty(X, TX)$. Moreover, $\nabla J = 0$ and $\nabla d\theta_0 = 0$ imply that the Tanaka-Webster connection is compatible with the Webster metric. That is,

$$U d\theta_0(W, V) = d\theta_0(\nabla_U W, V) + d\theta_0(W, \nabla_U V),\ \ \forall U, V, W \in C^\infty(X, TX). \tag{3.19}$$

By definition, the torsion of ∇ is given by $\tau(W, U) = \nabla_W U - \nabla_U W - [W, U]$ for $U, V \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ and $\tau(T, U)$ for $U \in C^\infty(X, HX)$ is called pseudohermitian torsion.

Let $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ be a local frame of $T^{1,0}X$ and let $\{\theta^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ be the dual frame of $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$. Write $Z_{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{Z_\alpha}$, $\theta^{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{\theta^\alpha}$. Write

$$\nabla Z_\alpha = \omega_\alpha^\beta \otimes Z_\beta, \quad \nabla Z_{\overline{\alpha}} = \omega_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\overline{\beta}} \otimes Z_{\overline{\beta}}, \quad \nabla T = 0.$$

We call ω_α^β the connection form of Tanaka-Webster connection with respect to the frame $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$. We denote by Θ_α^β the Tanaka-Webster curvature form. We have $\Theta_\alpha^\beta = d\omega_\alpha^\beta - \omega_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\beta$. It is easy to check that

$$\Theta_\alpha^\beta = R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ \beta}\theta^j \wedge \theta^{\overline{k}} + A_{\alpha\ jk}^{\ \beta}\theta^j \wedge \theta^k + B_{\alpha\ jk}^{\ \beta}\theta^{\overline{j}} \wedge \theta^{\overline{k}} + C \wedge \theta_0, \quad C \text{ is a one form.} \tag{3.20}$$

We call $R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ \beta}$ the pseudohermitian curvature tensor and its trace

$$R_{\alpha\overline{k}} := \sum_{j=1}^n R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ j} \tag{3.21}$$

is called pseudohermitian Ricci tensor. Write $d\theta_0 = ig_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\overline{\beta}}$. Let $\{g^{\overline{\sigma}\beta}\}$ be the inverse matrix of $\{g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\}$. The Tanaka-Webster Scalar curvature R with respect to the pseudohermitian structureθ_0 is given by

$$R = g^{\overline{k}\alpha}R_{\alpha\overline{k}}. \tag{3.22}$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $R(x) \in C^\infty(X)$ denotes Tanaka-Webster Scalar curvaturethe on X with respect to the pseudohermitian structure $\theta_0 = -d\omega_0$. Then, $S_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = 4\pi R(x)$, for every $x \in X$, where $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes the rigid Scalar curvature given by* (1.9).

Proof. Let $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ be the local frame of $T^{1,0}X$ given by some BRT trivialization (see Theorem 3.1). Then $\{dz_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ is a dual frame of $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$. Write $Z_{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{Z_\alpha}$, $\theta^\alpha = dz_\alpha$, $\theta^{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{\theta^\alpha}$. From (1.1) and (3.1), we have $d(-\omega_0) = ig_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\overline{\beta}}$, where $g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = 2\frac{\partial^2\varphi(z)}{\partial z_\alpha \partial\overline{z}_\beta}$. As before, write

$$\nabla Z_\alpha = \omega_\alpha^\beta \otimes Z_\beta, \nabla Z_{\overline{\alpha}} = \omega_{\overline{\alpha}}^{\overline{\beta}} \otimes Z_{\overline{\beta}}, \nabla T = 0.$$

From (3.19) and by direct calculation we find $\omega_\alpha^\beta = g^{\overline{\sigma}\beta}\partial_b g_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}$, where $\{g^{\overline{\sigma}\beta}\}$ is the inverse matrix of $\{g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\}$. Since T is an infinitesimal CR automorphism, Webster [25] showed that the pseudohermitian torsion vanishes on X, thus (3.20) becomes

$$\Theta_\alpha^\beta = R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ \beta}\theta^j \wedge \theta^{\overline{k}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Again, a direct calculation leads to $h_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi}g_{j\overline{k}}$ and

$$R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ \beta}\theta^j \wedge \theta^{\overline{k}} = -2g^{\overline{\sigma}\beta}\frac{\partial^4\varphi(z)}{\partial z_\alpha \partial\overline{z}_\sigma \partial z_j \partial\overline{z}_k}dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k - \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial g^{\overline{\sigma}\beta}}{\partial\overline{z}_k}dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k. \tag{3.24}$$

Then we get

$$\theta_{j,k} = \partial_b h_{j,l} \cdot h^{l,k} = \partial_b g_{j\overline{l}} \cdot g^{\overline{l}k} = \omega_j^k. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, from (3.18) and (3.25) we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{j,k} = \overline{\partial}_b\theta_{j,k} = \overline{\partial}_b(\partial_b g_{j\overline{l}} \cdot g^{\overline{l}k}) = g^{\overline{l}k}\overline{\partial}_b\partial_b g_{j\overline{l}} - \partial_b g_{j\overline{l}} \wedge \overline{\partial}_b g^{\overline{l}k} = R_{j\ \alpha\overline{\beta}}^{\ k}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\overline{\beta}} = \Theta_j^k.$$

Now given any point $x_0 \in X$ we can choose coordinates $(z_1, \cdots, z_n, \theta)$ in the BRT trivialization such that

$$g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(x_0) = \lambda_\alpha\delta_\alpha^\beta, \ \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}}{\partial z_j}(x_0) = \frac{\partial g_{\sigma\overline{\beta}}}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(x_0) = 0 \tag{3.26}$$

holds. Thus, at x_0 one has $R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ \beta}(x_0) = (-2)\frac{1}{\lambda_\beta}\frac{\partial^4\varphi(z)}{\partial z_\alpha\partial\overline{z}_\beta\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\Big|_{x_0}$. The pseudohermitian Ricci tensor is given by

$$R_{\alpha\overline{k}}(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{\alpha\ j\overline{k}}^{\ j}(x_0) = (-2)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^4\varphi(z)}{\partial z_\alpha\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\Big|_{x_0}. \tag{3.27}$$

From (3.27), the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature is given by

$$R(x_0) = g^{\overline{k}\alpha}(x_0)R_{\alpha\overline{k}}(x_0) = (-2)\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\varphi(z)}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\Big|_{x_0}. \tag{3.28}$$

From (3.10), by direct calculation, one finds $a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^n}\det g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ on D and $a(x_0) = \frac{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n}{\pi^n}$. By definition,

(3.29)

$$S_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0) = \triangle_{\mathcal{L}}(\log a(x))\Big|_{x_0} = (-2)(2\pi)g^{\overline{k}j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\log a(x)\Big|_{x_0} = (-2)(2\pi)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}\log a(x)\Big|_{x_0}.$$

From (3.26) and by direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}\log a(x)\Big|_{x_0} = \frac{1}{a(x_0)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}a(x)\Big|_{x_0} \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}a(x)\Big|_{x_0} &= \frac{1}{\pi^n}\frac{\partial^2\det g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}\Big|_{x_0} = \frac{1}{\pi^n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 g_{k\overline{k}}}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}\frac{\det g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}}{g_{k\overline{k}}}\Big|_{x_0}\\ &= \frac{2}{\pi^n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^4\varphi}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_k}(x_0)\frac{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n}{\lambda_k}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Thus from (3.30) and (3.31), we have

$$S_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0) = -8\pi\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\varphi(z)}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\Big|_{x_0}. \tag{3.32}$$

Combining (3.28) and (3.32), we conclude

$$S_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0) = 4\pi R(x_0). \tag{3.33}$$

□

4. Szegő kernel asymptotic expansion

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5. The section is organized as follows. We start by recalling and proving some basic facts about the Kohn Laplacian and its restriction to equivariant functions (see Section 4.1). In Section 4.2 we adapt some results on local Bergman kernel expansion to the BRT trivialized setting. In Section 4.3 we use these adapted results to get an approximation for the m-th Fourier component of the Szegő kernel. Using this approximation, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 in Section 4.4.

4.1. **Kohn Laplacians.** Since $T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}_b : C^\infty_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,1}_m(X), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We also write

$$\overline{\partial}^*_b : \Omega^{0,1}(X) \to C^\infty(X)$$

to denote the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)$. Since $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is rigid, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} &T\overline{\partial}^*_b = \overline{\partial}^*_b T \ \text{ on } \Omega^{0,1}(X),\\ &\overline{\partial}^*_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}^*_b : \Omega^{0,1}_m(X) \to C^\infty_m(X), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The Kohn Laplacian for functions is given by

$$\Box_b := \overline{\partial}^*_b \overline{\partial}_b : C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(X). \tag{4.2}$$

Now, we fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The m-th Fourier component of Kohn Laplacian for functions is given by

$$\Box_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}^*_{b,m} \overline{\partial}_{b,m} : C^\infty_m(X) \to C^\infty_m(X). \tag{4.3}$$

We extend $\Box_{b,m}$ to $L^2_m(X)$ by

$$\Box_{b,m} : \mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,m} \subset L^2_m(X) \to L^2_m(X)\,, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,m} := \{u \in L^2_m(X);\, \Box_{b,m} u \in L^2_m(X)\}$ and for any $u \in L^2_m(X)$, $\Box_{b,m} u$ is defined in the sense of distribution. It is well-known that $\Box_{b,m}$ is self-adjoint, $\mathrm{Spec}\,\Box_{b,m}$ is a discrete subset of $[0, \infty[$ and for every $\nu \in \mathrm{Spec}\,\Box_{b,m}$, ν is an eigenvalue of $\Box_{b,m}$ (see Section 3 in [6]). The following is well-known (see Theorem 3.10 in [17])

Theorem 4.1. *Let μ_m be the lowest non-zero eigenvalue of $\Box_{b,m}$. There exist constants $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ not depending on m such that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\mu_m \geq c_1 m - c_2. \tag{4.5}$$

Let $S_m : L^2(X) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,\Box_{b,m}$ be the orthogonal projection and let $S_m(x,y) \in C^\infty(X \times X)$ be the distribution kernel of S_m. It is clear that $S_m(x,x) = S_m(x)$, for every $x \in X$, where $S_m(x) \in C^\infty(X)$ is given by (1.3).

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $H^s(X)$ denote the Sobolev space for functions on X of order s and let $\|\cdot\|_s$ denote the standard Sobolev norm of order s with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)$. First, we need

Lemma 4.2. *For every $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are constants $C_s > 0$ and $N_s > 0$ independent of m such that*

$$\|S_m u\|_{2s} \leq C_s m^{N_s} \|u\|_{-2s} \ \textit{ for all } u \in H^{-2s}(X). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. By Gärding inequality, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it is easy to see that there are constants $C_s > 0$ and $N_s > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\|S_m u\|_{s+2} \leq C_s m^{N_s} \Big(\|(\Box_{b,m} - T^2) S_m u\|_s + \|S_m u\|_s \Big), \ \ \forall\, u \in L^2(X). \tag{4.7}$$

From (4.7), by using induction and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &T^2 S_m u = -m^2 S_m u, \quad \forall u \in L^2(X),\\ &\|S_m u\| \leq \|u\|\,, \quad \forall u \in L^2(X), \end{aligned}$$

it is straightforward to see that for every $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are constants $\widetilde{C}_s > 0$ and $\widetilde{N}_s > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\|S_m u\|_{2s} \leq \widetilde{C}_{s,m} m^{\widetilde{N}_s} \|u\|\,, \quad \forall u \in L^2(X). \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &S_m : H^{-2s}X \to L^2(X),\\ &\|S_m u\| \leq \widetilde{C}_{s,m} m^{\widetilde{N}_s} \|u\|_{-2s}\,,\ \ \forall u \in H^{-2s}(X). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. From (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\|S_m u\|_{2s} = \|S_m S_m u\|_{2s} \leq \widetilde{C}_{s_0} m^{\widetilde{N}_s} \|S_m u\| \leq (\widetilde{C}_s)^2 m^{2\widetilde{N}_s} \|u\|_{-2s}\,,\ \ \forall u \in H^{-2s}(X).$$

The lemma follows. □

Let $N_m : L^2_m(X) \to \mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,m}$ be the partial inverse of $\Box_{b,m}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Box_{b,m} N_m + S_m = I \ \text{ on } L^2_m(X),\\ &N_m \Box_{b,m} + S_m = I \ \text{ on } \mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,m}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

We need

Lemma 4.3. *For every $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are constants $\hat{C}_s > 0$ and $\hat{N}_s > 0$ independent of m such that*

$$\|N_m u\|_{s+2} \leq \hat{C}_s m^{\hat{N}_s} \|u\|_s \ \textit{for all}\ u \in H^s(X) \bigcap L^2_m(X). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. We will prove (4.11) by induction over $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By Gärding inequality, it is easy to see that there are constants $\widetilde{C} > 0$, $\widetilde{N} > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\|N_m u\|_2 \leq \widetilde{C} m^{\widetilde{N}} \Big(\|(\Box_{b,m} - T^2) N_m u\| + \|N_m u\| \Big),\ \ \forall u \in L^2_m(X). \tag{4.12}$$

From (4.10), we have

$$(\Box_{b,m} - T^2) N_m u = (I - S_m) u + m^2 N_m u. \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.13) and Theorem 4.1, we see that there are constants $\hat{C} > 0$ and $\hat{N} > 0$ independent of m satisfying

$$\|N_m u\| + \big\|(\Box_{b,m} - T^2) N_m u\big\| \leq \hat{C} m^{\hat{N}} \|u\|\,,\ \ \forall u \in L^2_m(X). \tag{4.14}$$

From (4.14) and (4.12), we see that (4.11) holds for $s = 0$.

We assume that (4.11) holds for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We are going to prove that (4.11) holds for $s_0 + 1$. By Gärding inequality, it is easy to see that there are constants $\widetilde{C}_{s_0} > 0$ and $\widetilde{N}_{s_0} > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|N_m u\|_{s_0+3}\\ &\leq \widetilde{C}_{s_0} m^{\widetilde{N}_{s_0}} \Big(\|(\Box_{b,m} - T^2) N_m u\|_{s_0+1} + \|N_m u\|_{s_0+1} \Big),\ \ \forall u \in H^{s_0+1}(X) \bigcap L^2_m(X). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.10), we have

$$(\Box_{b,m} - T^2) N_m u = (I - S_m) u + m^2 N_m u. \tag{4.16}$$

From (4.8), we have

$$\|S_m u\|_{s_0+1} \leq \|S_m u\|_{2(s_0+1)} \leq c_{s_0} m^{N_{s_0}} \|u\| \leq c_{s_0} m^{N_{s_0}} \|u\|_{s_0+1}\,, \tag{4.17}$$

where $c_{s_0} > 0$, N_{s_0} are constants independent of m. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\|N_m u\|_{s_0+1} \leq \|N_m u\|_{s_0+2} \leq \hat{c}_{s_0} m^{\hat{N}_{s_0}} \|u\|_{s_0} \leq \hat{c}_{s_0} m^{\hat{N}_{s_0}} \|u\|_{s_0+1}\,, \tag{4.18}$$

where $\hat{c}_{s_0} > 0$, $\hat{N}_{s_0}$ are constants independent of m. From (4.18), (4.17), (4.16) and (4.15), we see that (4.11) holds for $s_0 + 1$. The lemma follows. □

4.2. **Approximate Bergman kernels on BRT trivializations.** From now on, we fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $B := (D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization. We may assume that $D = U \times]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and U is an open set of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Consider $L \to U$ be a trivial line bundle with non-trivial Hermitian fiber metric $|1|^2_{h^L} = e^{-2\varphi}$. Let $(L^m, h^{L^m}) \to U$ be the m-th power of (L, h^L). Let $\Omega^{0,q}(U, L^m)$ be the space of $(0, q)$ forms on U with values in L^m, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Put $C^\infty(U, L^m) := \Omega^{0,0}(U, L^m)$. Let $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ be the Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TU$ given by

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\,, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \,|\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + i\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rangle, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

The Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ induces Hermitian metrics on $T^{*p,q}U$ bundle of (p, q) forms on U, $p, q = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, also denoted by $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$. Let dv_U be the volume form on U induced by $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$. Note that $dv_X = dv_U(x)d\theta$ on D. Let $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_m$ be the L^2 inner product on $\Omega^{0,q}(U, L^m)$ induced by $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ and h^{L^m}, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Let $\overline{\partial} : C^\infty(U, L^m) \to \Omega^{0,1}(U, L^m)$ be the Cauchy-Riemann operator and let $\overline{\partial}^{*,m} : \Omega^{0,1}(U, L^m) \to C^\infty(U, L^m)$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_m$. Put

$$\Box_{B,m} := \overline{\partial}^{*,m}\overline{\partial} : C^\infty(U, L^m) \to C^\infty(U, L^m). \tag{4.19}$$

We need the following result which is well-known (see Lemma 5.1 in [6])

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u \in C^\infty_m(X)$ be a function. On D, we write $u(z, \theta) = e^{im\theta}\widetilde{u}(z)$, $\widetilde{u}(z) \in C^\infty(U, L^m)$. Then,*

$$e^{-m\varphi}\Box_{B,m}(e^{m\varphi}\widetilde{u}) = e^{-im\theta}\Box_{b,m}(u). \tag{4.20}$$

Let $A : C^\infty_0(U) \to C^\infty(U)$ be a smoothing operator and let $A(z, w) \in C^\infty(U \times U)$ be the distribution kernel of A with respect to dv_U. Note that

$$(Au)(z) = \int A(z, w)u(w)dv_U(w), \quad \forall u \in C^\infty_0(U).$$

The following theorem is well-known (see Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.12 in [13])

Theorem 4.5. *There is a properly supported smoothing operator $P_{B,m} : C^\infty(U) \to C^\infty(U)$ such that*

$$P_{B,m} \circ e^{-m\varphi} \circ \Box_{B,m} \circ e^{m\varphi} = O(m^{-\infty}) \text{ on } U \times U, \tag{4.21}$$

$$e^{m\varphi}P_{B,m}e^{-m\varphi}u = u, \text{ for all } u \in C^\infty(U) \text{ with } \Box_{B,m}u = 0, \tag{4.22}$$

hold and the distribution kernel $P_{B,m}(z, w)$ of $P_{B,m}$ satisfies

$$P_{B,m}(z, w) = e^{im\Psi_B(z,w)}b_B(z, w, m) + O(m^{-\infty}), \tag{4.23}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &b_B(z, w, m) \in S^n_{\mathrm{loc}}(1; U \times U), \\ &b_B(z, w, m) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{B,j}(z, w)m^{n-j} \text{ in } S^n_{\mathrm{loc}}(1; D \times D), \\ &b_{B,j}(z, w) \in C^\infty(D \times D), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

$\Psi_B(z, w) \in C^\infty(U \times U)$, $\Psi(z, w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = w$ *and for every compact set $K \Subset U$, there is a constant $c_K > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Im}\Psi_B(z, w) \geq c_K\,|z - w|^2\,, \quad \forall (z, w) \in K \times K. \tag{4.25}$$

Remark 4.6. It is well-known that (see page 29 in Grigis and Sjöstrand [11]) there is a smooth function $\chi(x,y) \in C^\infty(U\times U)$ with $\mathrm{Supp}\,\chi$ is proper and $\chi(x,y)-1$ vanishes to infinite order at $x=y$. We can replace $P_{B,m}(z,w)$ by $P_{B,m}(z,w)\chi(z,w)$ and we can take $b_B(z,w,m)$ and $b_{B,j}(z,w)$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$, are all properly supported. From now on, we assume that $b_B(z,w,m)$ and $b_{B,j}(z,w)$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$, are all properly supported.

In [13], we also determine $b_{B,j}(z,z)$, $j=0,1,2$. To state the results, we need to introduce some notations. Let $R^L := 2\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$ and let $\dot{R}^L \in C^\infty(U, \mathrm{End}\,(T^{1,0}U))$ be the Hermitian matrix defined by

$$\langle\, \dot{R}^L(x)\mathcal{V}\,,\, \mathcal{W}\,\rangle = \langle\, R^L(x)\,, \mathcal{V}\wedge\overline{\mathcal{W}}\,\rangle. \tag{4.26}$$

Put

$$\omega := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}R^L. \tag{4.27}$$

The real two form ω induces a Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$ on $\mathbb{C}TU$. The Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$ on $\mathbb{C}TU$ induces a Hermitian metric on $T^{*p,q}U\otimes T^{*r,s}U$, $p,q,r,s\in\mathbb{N}_0$, also denoted by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$. For $u\in T^{*p,q}U$, we denote $|u|^2_\omega := \langle\, u,u\,\rangle_\omega$.

Let Θ be the real two form induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j,k=1}^n \omega_{j,k}dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_k,\\ \Theta_U &= \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j,k=1}^n \Theta_{j,k}dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_k.\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

We notice that $\Theta_{j,k} = \langle\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\,,\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\,\rangle$, $\omega_{j,k} = \langle\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\,,\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\,\rangle_\omega$, $j,k=1,\ldots,n$. Put

$$h = (h_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^n\,,\quad h_{j,k}=\omega_{k,j},\quad j,k=1,\ldots,n, \tag{4.29}$$

and $h^{-1} = \left(h^{j,k}\right)_{j,k=1}^n$, h^{-1} is the inverse matrix of h. The complex Laplacian with respect to ω is given by

$$\triangle_\omega = (-2)\sum_{j,k=1}^n h^{j,k}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}. \tag{4.30}$$

We notice that $h^{j,k} = \langle\, dz_j\,,\,dz_k\,\rangle_\omega$, $j,k=1,\ldots,n$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}V_\omega &:= \det\,(\omega_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^n\,,\\ V_{\Theta_U} &:= \det\,(\Theta_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^n\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned}r &= \triangle_\omega \log V_\omega,\\ \hat{r} &= \triangle_\omega \log V_{\Theta_U}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

The function r is called the scalar curvature with respect to ω. Let $R^{\det}_{\Theta_U}$ be the curvature of the determinant line bundle of $T^{*1,0}U$ with respect to the real two form Θ_U. Recall the identity

$$R^{\det}_{\Theta_U} = \partial\overline{\partial}\log V_{\Theta_U}. \tag{4.33}$$

Let h be as in (4.29). Put $\alpha = h^{-1}\partial h = (\alpha_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^{n}$, $\alpha_{j,k} \in T^{*1,0}U$, $j,k = 1,\ldots,n$. α is the Chern connection matrix with respect to ω. The Chern curvature with respect to ω is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{TU}_{\omega} = \overline{\partial}\alpha = \big(\overline{\partial}\alpha_{j,k}\big)_{j,k=1}^{n} = (\mathcal{R}_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^{n} \in C^{\infty}(U, T^{*1,1}U \otimes \mathrm{End}\,(T^{1,0}U)),\\
&R^{TU}_{\omega}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathrm{End}\,(T^{1,0}U), \quad \forall \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in T^{1,0}U,\\
&R^{TU}_{\omega}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{W})\xi = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \langle\, \mathcal{R}_{j,k}\,, \overline{\mathcal{V}} \wedge \mathcal{W}\,\rangle \xi_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \quad \xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \quad \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in T^{1,0}U.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Set

$$\big|R^{TU}_{\omega}\big|^2_{\omega} := \sum_{j,k,s,t=1}^{n} \big|\langle\, R^{TU}_{\omega}(\overline{e}_j, e_k)e_s\,, e_t\,\rangle_{\omega}\big|^2, \tag{4.35}$$

where $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}U$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\omega}$. It is straightforward to see that the definition of $\big|R^{TU}_{\omega}\big|^2_{\omega}$ is independent of the choices of orthonormal frames. Thus, $\big|R^{TU}_{\omega}\big|^2_{\omega}$ is globally defined. The Ricci curvature with respect to ω is given by

$$\mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega} := -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle\, R^{TU}_{\omega}(\cdot, e_j)\cdot\,, e_j\,\rangle_{\omega}, \tag{4.36}$$

where $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}U$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\omega}$. That is,

$$\langle\, \mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega}\,, \mathcal{V} \wedge \mathcal{W}\,\rangle = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle\, R^{TU}_{\omega}(\mathcal{V}, e_j)\mathcal{W}\,, e_j\,\rangle_{\omega}, \quad \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathbb{C}TU.$$

$\mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega}$ is a smooth $(1,1)$ form.

The following result is well-known (see Section 4.5 in [13])

Theorem 4.7. *With the notations used above, for $b_{B,0}(z,z)$, $b_{B,1}(z)$, $b_{B,2}(z)$ in* (4.24)*, we have*

$$b_{B,0}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \det \dot{R}^{L}(z), \tag{4.37}$$

$$b_{B,1}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \det \dot{R}^{L}(z)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r} - \frac{1}{8\pi}r\Big)(z), \tag{4.38}$$

(4.39)

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{B,2}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \det \dot{R}^{L}(z)\Big(&\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}r\hat{r} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2}(\hat{r})^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\triangle_{\omega}\hat{r} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\big|R^{\det}_{\Theta_U}\big|^2_{\omega}\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega}\,, R^{\det}_{\Theta_U}\,\rangle_{\omega} + \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\triangle_{\omega}r - \frac{1}{24\pi^2}\,|\mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega}|^2_{\omega} + \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big|R^{TU}_{\omega}\big|^2_{\omega}\Big)(z).
\end{aligned}$$

We remind that $\dot{R}^{L}$ is given by (4.26) *and*

$$\det \dot{R}^{L}(z) = \lambda_1(z)\cdots\lambda_n(z),$$

where $\lambda_1(z),\ldots,\lambda_n(z)$ are eigenvalues of $\dot{R}^{L}(z)$.

The following follows from straightforward calculation. We omit the details.

Lemma 4.8. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det \dot{R}^{L}(z) = \det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(z,\theta), \quad \forall (z,\theta) \in D,\\
&\hat{r}(z) = S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad r(z) = S_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad \forall (z,\theta) \in D,\\
&\triangle_{\omega}\hat{r}(z) = \triangle_{\mathcal{L}}S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad \triangle_{\omega}r(z) = \triangle_{\mathcal{L}}S_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad \forall (z,\theta) \in D,\\
&\big|R^{\det}_{\Theta_U}\big|^2_{\omega}(z) = \big|R^{\det}_{\Theta}\big|^2_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad |\mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega}|^2_{\omega}(z) = |\mathrm{Ric}\,_{\mathcal{L}}|^2_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad \forall (z,\theta) \in D,\\
&\langle\, \mathrm{Ric}\,_{\omega}\,, R^{\det}_{\Theta_U}\,\rangle_{\omega}(z) = \langle\, \mathrm{Ric}\,_{\mathcal{L}} \,|\, R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad \big|R^{TU}_{\omega}\big|^2_{\omega}(z) = \Big|R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}\Big|^2_{\mathcal{L}}(z,\theta), \quad \forall (z,\theta) \in D,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}_x X)$ *is given by* (1.15), $\det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) = \lambda_1(x)\cdots\lambda_n(x)$, $\lambda_1(x),\ldots,\lambda_n(x)$ *are eigenvalues of* $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)$, $\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}$, $S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $S_{\mathcal{L}}$, $R^{\det}_{\Theta}$, $\mathrm{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ *are given by* (1.7), (1.11), (1.9), (1.12), (1.14) *and* (3.18) *respectively and* $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ *is as in the discussion after* (**??**).

From Theorem 4.7 and (4.40), we deduce

Theorem 4.9. *With the notations used above, for* $b_{B,0}(z,z), b_{B,1}(z,z), b_{B,2}(z,z)$ *in* (4.24), *we have*

$$b_{B,0}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(z,\theta),\quad \forall (z,\theta)\in D, \tag{4.41}$$

$$b_{B,1}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(z,\theta)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{8\pi}S_{\mathcal{L}}\Big)(z,\theta),\quad \forall (z,\theta)\in D, \tag{4.42}$$

(4.43)

$$\begin{aligned} b_{B,2}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(z,\theta)\Big(&\frac{1}{128\pi^2}(S_{\mathcal{L}})^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}S_{\mathcal{L}}S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2}(S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}})^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_{\Theta}\right|^2_{\mathcal{L}}\\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}}\,|\,R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\triangle_{\mathcal{L}}S_{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}}\right|^2_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}}\right|^2_{\mathcal{L}}\Big)(z,\theta),\ \forall (z,\theta)\in D. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. **Approximate Szegő kernels.** Assume that $X = D_1\bigcup D_2\bigcup\cdots\bigcup D_N$, where $B_j := (D_j,(z,\theta),\varphi_j)$ is a BRT trivialization, for each j. We may assume that for each j, $D_j = U_j\times]-4\delta,4\delta[\subset\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{R}$, $U_j = \{z\in\mathbb{C}^n;\,|z|<\gamma_j\}$ and $\delta>0$ is a small constant satisfying

$$0<\delta<\inf\left\{\frac{\pi}{p_t},\left|\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-\frac{2\pi}{p_{r+1}}\right|, r=1,\ldots,t-1\right\}. \tag{4.44}$$

For each j, put $\hat{D}_j = \hat{U}_j\times]-\frac{\delta}{2},\frac{\delta}{2}[$, where $\hat{U}_j = \big\{z\in\mathbb{C}^n;\,|z|<\frac{\gamma_j}{2}\big\}$. We may suppose that $X = \hat{D}_1\bigcup\hat{D}_2\bigcup\cdots\bigcup\hat{D}_N$. Let $\chi_j\in C^{\infty}_0(\hat{D}_j)$, $j=1,2,\ldots,N$, with $\sum_{j=1}^N\chi_j = 1$ on X. Fix $j=1,2,\ldots,N$ and choose $\sigma_j\in C^{\infty}_0(]-\frac{\delta}{2},\frac{\delta}{2}[)$ with $\int\sigma_j(\theta)d\theta = 1$. Let $P_{B_j,m}(z,w)\in C^{\infty}(U_j\times U_j)$ be as in Theorem 4.5. Put

$$H_{j,m}(x,y) = \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta), \tag{4.45}$$

where $x=(z,\theta)$, $y=(w,\eta)\in\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{R}$. Let $H_{j,m}$ be the continuous operator

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{j,m}: C^{\infty}(X)\to C^{\infty}(X),\\ &\qquad u\to\int\chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta)u(y)dv_X(y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

Since $P_{B_j,m}$ is properly supported, (4.46) is well-defined.

Let $Q_m: L^2(X)\to L^2_m(X)$ be the orthogonal projection with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)$. Consider

$$\Gamma_m := \sum_{j=1}^N H_{j,m}\circ Q_m : C^{\infty}(X)\to C^{\infty}(X) \tag{4.47}$$

and let $\Gamma_m(x,y)\in C^{\infty}(X\times X)$ be the distribution kernel of Γ_m. Let $\Psi_{B_j}(z,w)$, $b_{B_j}(z,w,m)$, $b_{B_j,k}(z,w)$, $k=0,1,2,\ldots$, be as in Theorem 4.5. Let $\hat{\sigma}_j\in C^{\infty}_0(]-\delta,\delta[)$ such that $\hat{\sigma}_j = 1$ on some neighbourhood of $\mathrm{Supp}\,\sigma_j$ and $\hat{\sigma}_j(\theta) = 1$ if $(z,\theta)\in\mathrm{Supp}\,\chi_j$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,y) = \hat{\sigma}_j(\theta)\Psi_{B_j}(z,w)\hat{\sigma}_j(\eta)\in C^{\infty}(D_j\times D_j),\quad x=(z,\theta),\quad y=(w,\eta),\\ &\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,y) = \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}b_{B_j,m}(t,z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta),\\ &\hat{b}_{B_j,k}(x,y) = \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}b_{B_j,k}(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta),\quad k=0,1,2,\ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_m(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_{j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ y)e^{imu}du\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ y)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ y)e^{imu}du.\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

Lemma 4.10. *We have $\Gamma_m S_m = S_m$ on $C^\infty(X)$.*

Proof. Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$ be a function and set $S_m f = u$. Then one has $u\in \mathrm{Ker}\,\Box_{b,m}\bigcap C^\infty_m(X)$. On D_j, set $Q_m u = e^{im\eta}v_j(w)$, $v_j(w)\in C^\infty(U_j)$. From Lemma 4.4, we see that $\Box_{B_j,m}(e^{m\varphi_j}v_j) = 0$ holds. From this observation and (4.22), we have

$$P_{B_j,m}v_j = v_j \ \text{ on } U_j. \tag{4.50}$$

From (4.50), (4.46) and since $P_{B_j,m}$ is properly supported, we have

$$\begin{aligned}H_{j,m}Q_m u &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta)e^{im\eta}v_j(w)dv_{U_j}(w)d\eta\\ &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)v_j(w)dv_{U_j}(w) = \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}v_j(z) = \chi_j u.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Gamma_m u = \sum_{j=1}^N H_{j,m}\circ Q_m u = \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_j u = u$. The lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.11. *We have $\Gamma_m\Box_b = O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X\times X$.*

Proof. Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$ be a function. Fix $j=1,2,\ldots,N$. On D_j, set $Q_m f = e^{im\eta}v_j(w)$, $v_j(w)\in C^\infty(U_j)$. From (4.46) and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&H_{j,m}Q_m\Box_b f = H_{j,m}\Box_{b,m}Q_m f\\ &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta)(\Box_{b,m}Q_m f)(w,\eta)dv_{U_j}(w)d\eta\\ &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)\sigma_j(\eta)e^{-m\varphi_j(w)}\Box_{B_j,m}(e^{m\varphi_j}v_j)(w)dv_{U_j}(w)d\eta\\ &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}P_{B_j,m}(z,w)e^{-m\varphi_j(w)}\Box_{B_j,m}(e^{m\varphi_j}v_j)(w)dv_{U_j}(w)\\ &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}(P_{B_j,m}\circ e^{-m\varphi_j}\circ\Box_{B_j,m}\circ e^{m\varphi_j})(z,w)v_j(w)dv_{U_j}(w)\\ &= \int \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}(P_{B_j,m}\circ e^{-m\varphi_j}\circ\Box_{B_j,m}\circ e^{m\varphi_j})(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta)(Q_m f)dv_{U_j}(w)d\eta\\ &= \int K_m(x,y)(Q_m f)dv_{U_j}(w)d\eta,\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

where $K_m(x,y) := \chi_j(x)e^{im\theta}(P_{B_j,m}\circ e^{-m\varphi_j}\circ\Box_{B_j,m}\circ e^{m\varphi_j})(z,w)e^{-im\eta}\sigma_j(\eta)$. In view of (4.21), we know that

$$K_m(x,y) = O(m^{-\infty}) \ \text{ on } D_j\times D_j. \tag{4.52}$$

Note that $K_m(x,y)\in C^\infty_0(D_j\times D_j)$. From (4.51), we see that the distribution kernel of $H_{j,m}Q_m\Box_b$ is given by $(K_m\circ Q_m)(x,y)$. From (4.52), it is easy to see that $(K_m\circ Q_m)(x,y) = O(m^{-\infty})$ on $D_j\times D_j$. Thus, we have $H_{j,m}Q_m\Box_b = O(m^{-\infty})$ on $D_j\times D_j$ and hence $\Gamma_m\Box_b = O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X\times X$. The lemma follows. □

Let $\Gamma_m^* : C^\infty(X)\to C^\infty_m(X)$ be the adjoint of Γ_m with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)$. From Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 4.11, we deduce

Theorem 4.12. *With the notations above, we have*

$$S_m\Gamma_m^* = S_m \ \text{ on } C^\infty(X) \tag{4.53}$$

and

$$\Box_b\Gamma_m^* = O(m^{-\infty}) \ \text{ on } X\times X. \tag{4.54}$$

Now we can prove

Theorem 4.13. *With the notations used above, we have* $\Gamma_m = S_m + O(m^{-\infty})$ *on* $X\times X$.

Proof. From (4.10), we have

$$N_m\Box_b Q_m + S_mQ_m = Q_m \ \text{ on } C^\infty(X). \tag{4.55}$$

From (4.55) and (4.53), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_m^* &= Q_m\Gamma_m^* = (N_m\Box_b Q_m + S_m)\Gamma_m^*\\ &= N_m\Box_b Q_m\Gamma_m^* + S_m\Gamma_m^*\\ &= N_m\Box_b\Gamma_m^* + S_m.\end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

From (4.54) and (4.11), we conclude that

$$N_m\Box_b\Gamma_m^* = O(m^{-N}) : H^{-s}(X)\to H^s(X)$$

holds for every $s\in\mathbb{N}$ and $N>0$. Hence, we find $N_m\Box_b\Gamma_m^* = O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X\times X$. From this identity and (4.56), we deduce $\Gamma_m^* = S_m + O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X\times X$. Since S_m is self-adjoint, we conclude $\Gamma_m = S_m + O(m^{-\infty})$ on $X\times X$. The theorem follows. □

4.4. **The proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5.** We will use the same notations as in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5. Take

$$0<\delta<\inf\left\{\frac{\pi}{p_t}, \left|\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-\frac{2\pi}{p_{r+1}}\right|, r=1,\ldots,t-1\right\}. \tag{4.57}$$

For $x\in X$ and every $r=1,2,\ldots,t$, set

$$\hat{d}_\delta(x, X^r_{\text{sing}}) := \inf\left\{d(x,e^{-i\theta}x);\ \delta\le\theta\le\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-\delta\right\}. \tag{4.58}$$

Note that for any $0<\delta,\delta_1$ satisfying (4.57), $\hat{d}_\delta(x,X^r_{\text{sing}})$ and $\hat{d}_{\delta_1}(x,X^r_{\text{sing}})$ are equivalent. We shall denote $\hat{d}(x,X^r_{\text{sing}}) := \hat{d}_\delta(x,X^r_{\text{sing}})$. The following is well-known (see Theorem 6.5 in [6])

Theorem 4.14. *There is a constant* $C\ge 1$ *such that*

$$\frac{1}{C}d(x,X^r_{\text{sing}})\le\hat{d}(x,X^r_{\text{sing}})\le Cd(x,X^r_{\text{sing}}),\ \ \forall x\in X.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_m^0(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^N\int_{-2\delta}^{2\delta} H_{j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ y)e^{imu}du\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^N\int_0^{2\delta} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ y)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ y)e^{imu}du.\end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Gamma_m^0(x,x)\sim m^nb_0(x)+m^{n-1}b_1(x)+m^{n-2}b_2(x)+\cdots \ \text{ in } S^n_{\text{loc}}(1,X),\\ &b_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^N\chi_j(x)b_{B_j,k}(z,z)\in C^\infty(X),\ \ k=0,1,2,\ldots,\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

and

$$b_0(x) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x), \tag{4.61}$$

$$b_1(x) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) \Big(\frac{1}{4\pi} S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{8\pi} S_{\mathcal{L}} \Big)(x), \tag{4.62}$$

(4.63)

$$\begin{aligned} b_2(x) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) \Big(& \frac{1}{128\pi^2} (S_{\mathcal{L}})^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} S_{\mathcal{L}} S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} (S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}})^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \triangle_{\mathcal{L}} S^{\Theta}_{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left| R^{\det}_{\Theta} \right|^2_{\mathcal{L}} \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \langle \operatorname{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}} | R^{\det}_{\Theta} \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{96\pi^2} \triangle_{\mathcal{L}} S_{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{24\pi^2} |\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathcal{L}}|^2_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{96\pi^2} \left| R^{T^{1,0}X}_{\mathcal{L}} \right|^2_{\mathcal{L}} \Big)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.15. *With the notations used above, for any $r = 1, \ldots, t$ and any differential operator $P_\ell : C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(X)$ of order $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_\ell \Big(\Gamma_m(x,x) - \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r} mi} \Gamma^0_m(x,x) \Big) \right| \\ & \leq C m^{n+\frac{\ell}{2}} e^{-\varepsilon_0 m d(x, X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}, \quad \forall x \in X_r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

Proof. We first prove (4.64) when P_ℓ is the identity map. Let $B_j := (D_j, (z,\theta), \varphi_j)$, $\hat{D}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ be as in the beginning of Section 4.3. We will use the same notations as in Section 4.3. For each j, we have $D_j = U_j \times]-4\delta, 4\delta[\subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{D}_j = \hat{U}_j \times]-\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{\delta}{2}[\subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, $U_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, |z| < \gamma_j\}$, $\hat{U}_j = \big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, |z| < \frac{\gamma_j}{2}\big\}$. Recall that $\delta > 0$ satisfies (4.57). We may assume that $4|\delta| < \frac{2\pi}{p}$. Recall that $X = \hat{D}_1 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup \hat{D}_N$. It is straightforward to see that there is an $\hat{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$ such that for each $j = 1, \ldots, N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\varepsilon}_0 d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1)) \leq |z_1 - z_2| \leq \frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_0} d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1)), \forall (z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1) \in \hat{D}_j, \\ & \hat{\varepsilon}_0 d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1))^2 \leq \Psi_{B_j}(z_1,z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_0} d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1))^2, \forall (z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1) \in \hat{D}_j, \end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

where $\Psi_{B_j}(z,w)$ is as in (4.23).

Fix $x_0 \in X_{p_r}$. Fix $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Assume that $x_0 \in \hat{D}_j$ and suppose that $x_0 = (z_0, \theta_0) \in \hat{D}_j$. We claim

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \circ x_0 = (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\eta}) \in \hat{D}_j, \text{ for some } \theta \in [2\delta, \tfrac{2\pi}{p_r} - 2\delta], \\ & \text{then } |z - \widetilde{z}| \geq \hat{\varepsilon}_0 \hat{d}(x_0, X^r_{\mathrm{sing}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

where $\hat{d}(x_0, X^r_{\mathrm{sing}}) = \hat{d}_\delta(x_0, X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})$ is as in (4.58).

proof of the claim. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |z_0 - \widetilde{z}| &= |(z_0,\theta_0) - (\widetilde{z},\theta_0)| = \left| (z_0,\theta_0) - e^{-i\widetilde{\eta} + i\theta_0} (\widetilde{z},\widetilde{\eta}) \right| \\ &= \left| x_0 - e^{i(-\widetilde{\eta}+\theta_0)} \circ e^{i\theta} \circ x_0 \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

Note that $|-\widetilde{\eta} + \theta_0| \leq \delta$ holds. From this observation, (4.67) and (4.65) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{z} - z_0| &\geq \hat{\varepsilon}_0 \inf \left\{ d(e^{iu} \circ e^{i\theta} \circ x_0, x_0);\, |u| \leq \delta \right\} \\ &\geq \hat{\varepsilon}_0 \inf \left\{ d(e^{i\theta} \circ x_0, x_0);\, \delta \leq \theta \leq \frac{2\pi}{p_r} - \delta \right\} = \hat{\varepsilon}_0 \hat{d}(x_0, X^r_{\mathrm{sing}}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

The claim follows. □

From (4.49), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_m(x_0,x_0)\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{p_r}}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{u\in[2\delta,\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-2\delta]}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{-2\delta}^{2\delta}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{u\in[2\delta,\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-2\delta]}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\\
&\quad+\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0_m(x_0,x_0).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.69}
$$

From (4.65), (4.66) and Theorem 4.14, there are $\varepsilon_0>0$ and $C_0>0$ independent of k and x_0 such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{u\in[2\delta,\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-2\delta]}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\right|\\
&\leq C_0m^ne^{-\varepsilon_0md(x_0,X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2},\quad\forall m>0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.70}
$$

From (4.70) and (4.69), we get the theorem when P_ℓ is the identity map.

Now, let's consider general P_ℓ. For simplicity, we only prove (4.64) when $\ell=1$. For general P_ℓ, the proof is similar. Fix $x_0\in X_{p_r}$. Fix $j=1,2,\ldots,N$. Assume that $x_0\in\hat{D}_j$ and suppose that $x_0=(z_0,\theta_0)\in\hat{D}_j$. Let $x=(z,\theta)$ be the canonical coordinates of X on $\hat{D}_j$, $z_k=x_{2k-1}+ix_{2k}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, $x_{2n}=\theta$. For every $k=1,2,\ldots,2n$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_0^{2\pi}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)=\int_0^{2\pi}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\widetilde{b}^k_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du,
\tag{4.71}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{b}^k_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)=im\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)\Big)\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x).
\tag{4.72}
$$

We can repeat the procedure (4.69) with minor change and get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_0^{2\pi}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)|_{x=x_0}\\
&=\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\int_{u\in[2\delta,\frac{2\pi}{p_r}-2\delta]}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}\widetilde{b}^k_{B_j,m}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du\\
&\quad+\sum_{s=1}^{p_r}e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_{-2\delta}^{2\delta}e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)|_{x=x_0}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.73}
$$

From the fact that $\Psi_{B_j}((z,\theta),(z,\eta)) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x, e^{iu}\circ x) = O(|z-z'|)$, where $x = (z,\theta)\in \hat{D}_j$, $e^{iu}\circ x = (z',\theta')\in \hat{D}_j$, and by using the claim (4.66), we conclude that for every $u\in[2\delta, \frac{2\pi}{p_r}-2\delta]$, we have

$$\Big|\widetilde{b}^k_{B_j,m}(x_0, e^{iu}\circ x_0)\Big| \leq Cm^n\Big(md(x_0, X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})+1\Big), \tag{4.74}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of x_0 and u. From (4.73) and (4.74), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)|_{x=x_0}\\
&\quad -\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_{-2\delta}^{2\delta} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big|\\
&\leq C_1 m^{n+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\varepsilon_0 md(x_0,X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.75}$$

where $C_1>0$ and $\varepsilon_0>0$ are constants independent of x_0. On $\hat{D}_j$, write

$$P_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_k(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + a_{2n+1}(x)T,\quad a_k\in C^\infty(\hat{D}_j),\quad k=1,2,\ldots,2n+1.$$

Let $P^0_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_k(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$. From (4.75), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|P^0_\ell\Big(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\\
&\quad -\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\int_{-2\delta}^{2\delta} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big|\\
&\leq C_2\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{2n}\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)\Big|_{x=x_0}\\
&\quad -\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(\int_{-2\delta}^{2\delta} e^{im\hat{\Psi}_{B_j}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}\hat{b}_{B_j,m}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}du\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big|\Big)\\
&\leq C_3 m^{n+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\varepsilon_1 md(x_0,X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.76}$$

where $C_2>0$, $C_3>0$ and $\varepsilon_1>0$ are constants independent of x_0. From (4.76), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|P_\ell\Big(\Gamma_m(x,x)-\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0(x,x)\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big|\\
&\leq \hat{C}_0\Big(m^{n+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\varepsilon_2 md(x_0,X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}+\Big|T\Big(\Gamma_m(x,x)-\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0(x,x)\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big|\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

where $\hat{C}_0>0$ and $\varepsilon_2>0$ are constants independent of x_0. Let's estimate $\Big|T\Big(\Gamma_m(x,x)-\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0(x,x)\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big|\Big)$. We can repeat the procedure (4.69) with minor change and deduce that

$$\Big|T\Big(\Gamma_m(x,x)-\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0(x,x)\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big| \leq \hat{C}_1 m^{n+1}e^{-\varepsilon md(x_0,X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}, \tag{4.78}$$

where $\hat{C}_1>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ are constants independent of x_0. Since $\Gamma_m(x,x) = S_m(x)+O(m^{-\infty})$ and $TS_m(x)=0$, we deduce that $T\Gamma_m(x,x) = O(m^{-\infty})$. Moreover, from (4.60), we find

$|T\Gamma^0_m(x,x)| \leq \hat{C}m^n$, for every $x \in X$, where $\hat{C} > 0$ is a constant. From this observation, we conclude

$$\Big|T\Big(\Gamma_m(x,x) - \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0(x,x)\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big| \leq \widetilde{C}_1 m^n, \tag{4.79}$$

where $\widetilde{C}_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of x_0. From (4.78) and (4.79), we get

$$\Big|T\Big(\Gamma_m(x,x) - \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} e^{\frac{2\pi(s-1)}{p_r}mi}\Gamma^0(x,x)\Big)|_{x=x_0}\Big| \leq \widetilde{C}_2 m^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\widetilde{\varepsilon}md(x_0,X^r_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}, \tag{4.80}$$

where $\widetilde{C}_2 > 0$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ are constants independent of x_0. From (4.77) and (4.80), we get (4.64) when $\ell = 1$. For general P_ℓ, the proof is similar. □

From Theorem 4.13, Theorem 4.15, (4.60), (4.61), (4.62) and (4.63), we get Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5.

REFERENCES

[1] M.-S. Baouendi, L.-P. Rothschild, and F.-Treves, *CR structures with group action and extendability of CR functions*, Invent. Math., **83** (1985), 359–396.

[2] R. Berman, B. Berndtsson and J. Sjöstrand, *A direct approach to Bergman kernel asymptotics for positive line bundles*, Ark. Math. **46** (2008), no. 2,197–217.

[3] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque, **34–35** (1976), 123–164.

[4] C.P. Boyer, K. Galicki, *On Sasakian-Einstein Geometry*, Int. J. Math. **11** (2000), 873–909.

[5] D. Catlin, *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables, Katata, Trends in Math, 1–23.

[6] J.-H. Cheng, C.-Y. Hsiao and I.-H. Tsai, *Heat kernel asymptotics and a local index theorem for CR manifolds with S^1 action*, arXiv:1511.00063.

[7] X. Dai, K. Liu and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **339** (2004), no. 3, 193–198.

[8] X. Dai, K. Liu and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), no. 1, 1–41.

[9] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), 479–522.

[10] J.-J. Duistermaat and G.-J. Heckman, *On the Variation in the Cohomology of the Symplectic Form of the Reduced Phase Space*, Invent. Math., **69** (1982), 259-268.

[11] A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal Analysis for Differential Operators*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 196, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[12] H. Herrmann, C-Y. Hsiao and X. Li, *Szegő kernel expansion and embedding of Sasakian manifolds*, arXiv:1512.03952.

[13] C-Y. Hsiao and G. Marinescu, *Asymptotics of spectral function of lower energy forms and Bergman kernel of semi-positive and big line bundles*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **22** (2014), 1–108.

[14] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Szegö kernel asymptotics for high power of CR line bundles and Kodaira embedding theorems on CR manifolds*, 116 pages, preprint available at arXiv:1401.6647, to appear in Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society.

[15] C.-Y. Hsiao and X. Li, *Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalities on CR manifolds with S^1 action*, arXiv:1502.02365.

[16] C.-Y. Hsiao and X. Li, *Morse inequalities for Fourier components of Kohn-Rossi cohomology of CR manifolds with S^1-action*, Math. Z. **284** (2016), no. 1-2, 441-468.

[17] C.-Y. Hsiao and R.-T. Huang, *The asymptotics of the analytic torsion on CR manifolds with S^1 action*, arXiv:1605.07507.

[18] C.-Y. Hsiao, X. Li and G. Marinescu, *Equivariant Kodaira embedding for CR manifolds with circle action*, arXiv:1603.08872.

[19] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Math., vol. 254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007, 422 pp.

[20] X. Ma, *Geometric quantization on Kähler and symplectic manifolds*, Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians (ICM 2010), Hyderabad, India, August 19–27, 2010. Vol II, 785–810.
[21] P. Molino, *Riemannian Foliations*, Progress in Math., vol. 73, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.
[22] L. Orena and M. Verbitsky, *Sasakian structures on CR-manifolds*, M. Geom Dedicata (2007), 125–159.
[23] B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch, *Asymptotics of almost holomorphic sections of ample line bundles on symplectic manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **544** (2002), 181–222.
[24] N. Tanaka, *A differential geometric study on strictly pseudoconvex manifolds*, In: Lecture Notes in Math. Tokyo: Kyoto University, Kinokuniya Bookstore Co. (1975).
[25] S. M. Webster, *Pseudo-Hermitian strcutures on a real hypersurface*, J. Differential Geom. **13** (1978), 25–41.
[26] S. Zelditch, *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices., (1998), no. 6, 317–331.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 COLOGNE, GERMANY
E-mail address: heherrma@math.uni-koeln.de or post@hendrik-herrmann.de

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, ASTRONOMY-MATHEMATICS BUILDING, NO. 1, SEC. 4, ROOSEVELT ROAD, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
E-mail address: chsiao@math.sinica.edu.tw or chinyu.hsiao@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, HUBEI 430072, CHINA
E-mail address: xiaoshanli@whu.edu.cn